	Case 2:21-cv-01890-SPL-JFM Document	23 Filed 01/04/23	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
8	Benton Gene Baskin, Plaintiff -vs-	CV-21-189	0-PHX-SPL (JFM)
9	Todd Thomas, et al., Defendants.		Order
10	——————————————————————————————————————		Oruei
11	NOTICE - WARNING TO PLAINTIFF - Defendant Westbrook has filed a		
12	Motion to Dismiss. That motion to dismiss seeks to have your case dismissed. The motion		
13	will, if granted, end your case, or at least portions of your case. Plaintiff is advised of the		
14	following specific provisions of Local Civil Rule 7.2, Rules of Practice of the United		
15	States District Court for the District of Arizona:		
16	permitted by the Court, a motion including its supporting memorandum, and the response including its supporting memorandum, each shall not exceed seventeen (17) pages, exclusive of attachments and any required statement of facts. Unless otherwise		
17			
18			
19	permitted by the Court, a reply including its supporting memorandum shall not exceed eleven (11) pages, exclusive of attachments.		
20	Attachments shall exclude ma material fact or law.	terials extraneous to	o genuine issues of
21	* * *  (i) Briefs or Memoranda of Law; Effect of Non-Compliance. If		
22	a motion does not conform in all substantial respects with the requirements of this Local Rule, or if the unrepresented party or counsel does not serve and file the required answering memoranda, or if the unrepresented party or counsel fails to appear at the time and		
23			
24	place assigned for oral argudeemed a consent to the den	ial or granting of the	
25	Court may dispose of the motion summarily.		
26	You must timely respond to all motions. The Court may, in its discretion, treat your		•
27	failure to respond to the Motion to Dismis		
28	without further notice, and judgment may	be entered dismis	ssing this action without

1	prejudice pursuant to Rule 7.2(i) of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure. See Brydges v.		
2	Lewis, 18 F.3d 651 (9th Cir. 1994) (per curiam).		
3	Because the motion relies in part on a lack of personal jurisdiction, the expanded		
4	deadlines for motions for summary judgment apply. See LRCiv 12.1(b).		
5	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:		
6	1. Response - Plaintiff has through February 6, 2023 to respond to Defendant		
7	Westbrook's Motion to Dismiss, filed January 3, 2023 (Doc. 20).		
8	2. <b>Reply</b> - Defendants have fifteen days from service of the response to file a reply.		
9	3. Consideration of Motion - The Motion to Dismiss will be deemed ready for		
10	decision without oral argument on the day following the date set for filing a reply unless		
11	otherwise ordered by the Court.		
12	Dated: January 4, 2023  James F. Metcalf		
13	21-1890o Order 23 01 03 re Sched on MTD.docx  United States Magistrate Judge		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case 2:21-cv-01890-SPL-JFM Document 23 Filed 01/04/23 Page 2 of 2